



Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability

ISSN: 0954-2299 (Print) 2047-6523 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tcsb20

Influence of nutrient addition on growth and accumulation of cadmium and copper in Lemna gibba

Zeliha Leblebici, Ahmet Aksoy & Fatih Duman

To cite this article: Zeliha Leblebici, Ahmet Aksoy & Fatih Duman (2010) Influence of nutrient addition on growth and accumulation of cadmium and copper in Lemna gibba, Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability, 22:3, 157-164, DOI: 10.3184/095422910X12827357718395

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.3184/095422910X12827357718395



Copyright 2010 Taylor and Francis Group LLC



Published online: 12 Jan 2015.

_	_
Γ	
L	0
-	

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 464



View related articles

Citing articles: 2 View citing articles	Z
0	

Influence of nutrient addition on growth and

accumulation of cadmium and copper in Lemna gibba

Zeliha Leblebici*, Ahmet Aksoy and Fatih Duman

Erciyes University, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Department of Biology, 38039, Kayseri, Turkey **E-mail: zleblebici@erciyes.edu.tr*

ABSTRACT

Aquatic plants have been identified as potentially useful for accumulating and bioconcentrating heavy metals. This study was developed to test the hypothesis that nutrient enrichment enhances the metal tolerance of floating macrophytes. Relative growth rates (RGR), photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoid), malondialdehyde (MDA) content, and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured in *Lemna gibba* exposed to different cadmium and copper concentrations in laboratory conditions. Relative growth rates were negatively correlated with metal exposure, but nutrient addition suppressed this effect. Photosynthetic pigment levels were negatively correlated with metal exposures, and nutrient addition attenuated chlorophyll decrease in response to metal exposures. MDA content and EC also showed sharp increases at higher concentrations, indicating oxidative stress. This study indicates that nutrient enrichment increases the tolerance of *Lemna gibba* to metals, and that *Lemna gibba* is a suitable candidate for the phytoremediation of low-level copper and cadmium pollution.

Keywords: duckweed, nutrient enrichment, cadmium, copper, photosynthetic pigment, oxidative stress

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, water contamination with heavy metals has become a severe problem. The presence of toxic metals in pond, ditch, and river water affects the lives and well-being of people who depend upon these sources for their daily water requirements (Rai et al., 2002). Consumption of aquatic foodstuffs contaminated with toxic metals may cause serious health hazards through foodchain magnification (Khan et al., 2000). A number of methods are available to remove toxic metals from water, including ion exchange, reverse osmosis, electrolysis, precipitation and adsorption. The latter is by far the most versatile and widely used. However, these methods have different efficiencies for different metals and can be prohibitively expensive, especially in large volumes, at low metal concentrations and in the presence of biota and suspended solids. The choice of purification method depends on the composition of the system, the pH of the water, redox conditions, and the nature of the pollutants.

It is well known that aquatic plants can accumulate metals taken in from the environment and concentrate them in trophic chains with accumulative effect (Outridge and Noller, 1991; Tremp and Kohler, 1995; Razinger *et al.*, 2008). Significant copper accumulation has been observed in other aquatic plants such as *Ceratophyllum demersum* (Devi and Prasad, 1998), *Hydrilla verticillata* (Srivastava *et al.*, 2006) *Lemna trisulca* (Prasad *et al.*, 2001) *Vallisneria spiralis* (Vajpayee *et al.*, 2005) and *Lemna minor* (Razinger *et al.*, 2007; Razinger *et al.*, 2009).

The use of aquatic macrophytes, such as water hyacinth, duckweed, and water lettuce, in wastewater treatment has attracted global attention in recent years (Reed *et al.*, 1995; Gijzen and Khonner, 1997; Van der Steen *et al.*, 1999; Vermaat and Hanif, 1998), as these plants can be grown on the surface of stabilization ponds, and may contribute to nutrient recovery from wastewater. Duckweed is a floating aquatic macrophyte belonging to the botanical family *Lemnaceae*, which can be found world-wide on the surface of nutrient-rich fresh and brackish waters (Zimmo, 2003).

Lee and Wang (2001) reported that an increase in nitrate concentration resulted in a significant increase in cadmium accumulation in *Ulva fasciata*. According to Hadad *et al.* (2007), nutrient enrichment either attenuated (chromium and zinc) or suppressed (nickel) root biomass decrease in response to metal exposure in *Salvinia herzogii*. Although heavy metal accumulation has been studied in *L. gibba* (El-Kheir *et al.*, 2007; Demirezen, 2007; Demirezen *et al.*, 2007), the effect of nutrient addition on this species' metal tolerance is unknown.

The aim of this study was to determine whether nutrient enrichment enhances the metal tolerance of floating macrophytes, which would therefore enable the growth of floating vegetation in constructed wetlands at metal concentrations that would otherwise inhibit plant viability.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant material and treatment conditions

Plants of species Lemna gibba L. were obtained from a pond Soysalli-Kayseri (38°23'500"N, 035°21'919"E, 1075 m), Turkey. The chemical composition of the pond water was (mean ± standard deviation): $pH = 6.4 \pm 0.1$; conductivity = 92 ± 8 $\mu S \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $NH_4^+ -N = 0.021 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg} \text{ L}^{-1}$; $\begin{array}{c} NO_3^- & -N = 0.02 \pm 0.001 \text{ mg } L^{-1}, \text{ } NO_2^- & -N = \\ 0.002 \pm 0.001 \text{ mg } L^{-1}, \text{ } SO_4^{2-} = 0.2 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg } L^{-1}. \end{array}$ Before metal treatment, plants were acclimatized for 5 days in laboratory conditions in lake water (23°C and 14 h photoperiod, 350 μ mol m² s⁻¹). Plants were later transferred to deionized water with nutrient and different metal concentrations. Plants were treated with different concentrations of copper (0, 1, 2, 5, 10 mg L^{-1}) and cadmium (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4 mg L⁻¹) and maintained in double deionized water in 500 mL conical flasks under the aforementioned conditions for periods of 1, 3, 5 and 7 days. They were grown in deionized water added with the nutrients in concentrations that mimicked natural pond water. Maximum concentrations of copper and cadmium were taken from Wenhua (2007). Plant growth rates in response to metal exposures were compared with exposures enriched with 5 mg $L^{-1} P$ (KH₂PO₄), 5 mg L^{-1} $NO_3^- - N$ (KNO₃) and SO_4^{2-2} (K₂SO₄). Flasks without metals grown alongside each set of experimental groups served as controls. The experiments lasted 7 days, when the plants exposed to the highest metal concentrations developed chlorosis and necrosis. After harvesting, plants were washed with double deionized water. Plants were placed on blotting paper and allowed to drain for 5 min before weighing. All treatments were carried out in triplicate.

L. gibba relative growth rates were calculated in each group according to Hunt's equation:

$$R = \ln W_2 - \ln W_1 / T_2 - T_1,$$

where R is the relative growth rate $(gg^{-1} d^{-1})$, W₁ and W₂ are the initial and final fresh weights, respectively, and (T_2-T_1) is the experimental period (Hunt, 1978).

2.2 Cadmium and copper quantification

Harvested plants were washed thoroughly with double deionized water, blotted and oven dried 80°C. Each sample was then digested with 10 mL pure HNO₃, using a CEM-MARS 5 (CEM Corporation Mathews, NC, USA) microwave digestion system (maximum power: 1,200 W, power: 100%, ramp: 20:00 min, pressure: 180 psi, temperature: 210°C and hold time: 10:00 min). After digestion, the volume of each sample was adjusted to 25 mL using double deionized water. Determinations of cadmium and copper concentrations in all samples were carried out by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (Varian-Liberty II, ICP-OES) (Demirezen, 2007). Peach leaves (NIST, SRM-1547) and CRM 039-050 were used as reference material and also all analytical procedures were performed for reference materials. Samples were analysed in triplicate.

2.3 Plant growth parameters

Plant biomass was measured on the basis of fresh weight. Photosynthetic pigments of treated and untreated plants (100 mg) were extracted in 80% chilled acetone in dark. After centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min, absorbance of the supernatant was taken at 450, 645 and 663 nm. The content of chlorophylls and carotenoids were estimated by as previously described (Witham, 1971).

2.4 Lipid peroxidation and electrical conductivity

Lipid peroxidation was determined by estimation of the malondialdehyde (MDA) content following the protocol of Health and Packer (1968). MDA and EC content were not determined for the treatments



Figure 1 Accumulation of (a) Cd (b) Cd + nutrients (c) Cu and (d) Cu + nutrients by *Lemna gibba* exposed to different concentrations over various periods of time. All values are means of triplicates \pm SD. ANOVA significance was set at $P \le 0.01$. Different letters indicate significantly different values at a particular time point (DMRT, $P \le 0.05$).

enriched with nutrients. Plant material (500 mg) was homogenized with 3 mL of 0.5% thiobarbituric acid in 20% trichloroacetic acid (w/v). The homogenate was incubated at 95°C for 30 min and reactions were stopped on ice. The samples were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min and absorbance was recorded at 532 and 600 nm. The amount of MDA was calculated by subtracting non-specific absorbance at 600 nm from absorbance at 532 nm.

EC as a measure of ion leakage was determined according to Devi and Prasad (1998). Cadmium and copper exposed plants were washed with double deionized water and 500 mg of plant material was then transferred to 100 mL of deionized water for 24 h to facilitate maximum ion leakage. EC of the water was then recorded.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Two-way analysis variance (ANOVA) was done with all the data to confirm the variability of data and validity of results, and Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) was performed to determine the significant difference between treatments. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) statistical program was used for statistical analysis.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Accumulation of heavy metals and effect on growth of plants

Plants accumulated high amounts of cadmium in a concentration-time dependent manner. The highest Cd accumulation was seen at a dose of 4 mg L^{-1} . The percent of the total Cd accumulated $(615 \,\mu g g^{-1})$ on day 7 at 4 mg L⁻¹ was 79.1% on day 1, 80.6% on day 3, and 92.8% on day 5 (Figure 1a). In the groups enriched with nutrients, Cd accumulation at 4 mg L^{-1} was 87.9% on day 1, 90.8% on day 3, and 94.7% on day 5 of the total Cd accumulated $(341.2 \ \mu g \ g^{-1})$ on day 7 (Figure 1b). Maximum Cu accumulation was observed at a dose of 10 mg L^{-1} . The percent of the total Cu accumulated $(351.6 \,\mu g$ g^{-1}) on day 7 at 10 mg L⁻¹ was 72.7% on day 1, 81% on day 3, and 85.3% on day 5 (Figure 1c). In the groups enriched with nutrients, Cu accumulation at 10 mg L^{-1} was 78.1% on day 1, 86.3% on day 3, and 90.9% on day 5 of the total Cu accumulated $(110 \,\mu g g^{-1})$ on day 7 (Figure 1d).

Relative growth rates of *L. gibba* decreased in the presence of cadmium in a concentration dependent manner (Figure 2). However, the treatments enriched



Figure 2 Relative growth rates of Cu and Cd treated plants (after 7 day). The bars represent standard deviation. ** (P < 0.01) indicate significant difference between the two treatment.

with nutrients did not show a similar correlation (R = 0.980). Relative growth rates of *L. gibba* decreased with copper in a concentration dependent manner (Figure 2), but the treatments enriched with nutrients did not show similar correlation (R = 0.940). Therefore, nutrient addition attenuated the decline in relative growth rates in response to metal exposure (Figure 2).

3.2 Effect of metals on photosynthetic pigments

Chlorophyll concentration in *L. gibba* was negatively correlated with cadmium and copper exposures (Tables 1 and 2). Nutrient enrichment attenuated

the observed decrease in chlorophyll concentration by cadmium and copper exposures. Levels of chl *a* decreased in a cadmium concentration-dependent and time-depended manner, with a minimum value of 0.278 mg g^{-1} in the 4 mg L^{-1} Cd group. In the nutrient-enriched, 4 mg L^{-1} Cd group, chl *a* levels were reduced a minimum value of 0.349 mg g^{-1} fresh weight on day 7 (Table 1).

When *Lemna* fronds were exposed to 1 mg L^{-1} or higher concentrations Cu, a dose-depended decrease of chlorophyll pigments was also observed, with a minimum chl *a* value of 0.307 mg g⁻¹ fresh weight on day 7 in 10 mg L⁻¹ compared to 1.601 mg g⁻¹ in controls (Table 2).

Cd					Cd + nutrient			
Kl a	1d	3d	5d	7d	1d	3d	5d	7d
Control 0.5 mg L^{-1} 1 mg L^{-1} 2 mg L^{-1} 4 mg L^{-1}	$\begin{array}{c} 1.63a \pm 0.1 \\ 1.10^{b} \pm 0.4 \\ 1.09^{b} \pm 0.5 \\ 0.99^{c} \pm 0.1 \\ 0.96^{c} \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.60^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.90^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.80^{bc}\pm0.1\\ 0.73^{c}\pm0.3\\ 0.69^{c}\pm0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.60^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.64^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.56^{c}\pm0.3\\ 0.39^{cd}\pm0.2\\ 0.30^{d}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.60^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.49^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.39^{c}\pm0.6\\ 0.29^{d}\pm0.2\\ 0.27^{d}\pm0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.66^{a}\pm0.1\\ 1.33^{b}\pm0.2\\ 1.30^{b}\pm0.7\\ 1.09^{c}\pm0.3\\ 1.08^{c}\pm0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.62^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.96^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.80^{bc}\pm0.7\\ 0.75^{bc}\pm0.6\\ 0.50^{c}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.61^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.72^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.68^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.63^{b}\pm0.6\\ 0.42^{c}\pm0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.61^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.61^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.50^{c}\pm0.1\\ 0.30^{d}\pm0.5\\ 0.34^{d}\pm0.3 \end{array}$
Kl b								
Control Cd 0.5 mg L^{-1} Cd 1 mg L^{-1} Cd 2 mg L^{-1} Cd 4 mg L^{-1}	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86^{a}\pm0.2\\ 0.61^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.60^{b}\pm0.6\\ 0.55^{c}\pm0.1\\ 0.53^{c}\pm0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.49^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.44^{bc}\pm0.3\\ 0.35^{c}\pm0.2\\ 0.23^{d}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.85^{a}\pm0.2\\ 0.26^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.20^{c}\pm0.3\\ 0.20^{c}\pm0.8\\ 0.20^{d}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.80^{a}\pm0.2\\ 0.23^{b}\pm0.6\\ 0.19^{c}\pm0.4\\ 0.13^{d}\pm0.8\\ 0.13^{d}\pm0.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.91^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.72^{ab}\pm0.2\\ 0.62^{b}\pm0.7\\ 0.60^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.59^{c}\pm0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.90^{a}\pm0.2\\ 0.53^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.44^{bc}\pm0.3\\ 0.41^{c}\pm0.8\\ 0.28^{d}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.87^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.35^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.30^{c}\pm0.3\\ 0.28^{c}\pm0.4\\ 0.19^{d}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.34^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.30^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.22^{c}\pm0.6\\ 0.20^{d}\pm0.2 \end{array}$
Karotenoid								
Control $0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$ $1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$ $2 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$ $4 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.65^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.63^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.52^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.4^{b}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.99^{a}\pm0.2\\ 0.62^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.59^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.51^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.39^{c}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.98^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.60^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.54^{c}\pm0.1\\ 0.48^{c}\pm0.4\\ 0.32^{d}\pm0.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.97^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.56^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.50^{c}\pm0.3\\ 0.41^{cd}\pm0.1\\ 0.27^{d}\pm0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.77^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.74^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.68^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.64^{c}\pm0.2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.03^{a}\pm0.2\\ 0.76^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.74^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.62^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.55^{c}\pm0.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.02^{a}\pm0.2\\ 0.70^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.63^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.52^{c}\pm0.1\\ 0.45^{d}\pm0.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.01^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.65^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.62^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.44^{c}\pm0.1\\ 0.38^{d}\pm0.5 \end{array}$

Table 1 Effect of different concentrations of Cd and nutrient on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoid contents of Lemna gibba

All values are means of triplicates \pm SD. ANOVA significance was set at $P \le 0.01$. Different letters indicate significantly different values at a particular time point (DMRT, $P \le 0.05$).

Cu				Cu + nutrient				
Kl a	1d	3d	5d	7d	1d	3d	5d	7d
Control $1 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $2 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $5 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $10 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.63^{a}\pm0.1\\ 1.13^{ab}\pm0.3\\ 1.01^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.76^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.75^{b}\pm0.2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.60^{a}\pm0.2\\ 1.01^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.80^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.73^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.62^{c}\pm0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.60^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.75^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.73^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.68^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.53^{c}\pm0.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.60^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.53^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.52^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.46^{c}\pm0.2\\ 0.30^{d}\pm0.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.66^{a}\pm0.2\\ 1.41^{ab}\pm0.2\\ 1.02^{b}\pm0.1\\ 1.00^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.99^{b}\pm0.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.62^{a}\pm0.1\\ 1.06^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.92^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.90^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.76^{c}\pm0.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.61^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.97^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.92^{b}\pm0.6\\ 0.78^{c}\pm0.7\\ 0.77^{c}\pm0.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.61^{a} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.76^{b} \pm 0.3 \\ 0.73^{b} \pm 0.5 \\ 0.73^{c} \pm 0.3 \\ 0.55^{d} \pm 0.1 \end{array}$
Kl b								
Control $1 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $2 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $5 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $10 \text{ mg } L^{1}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.62^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.46^{bc}\pm0.1\\ 0.42^{bc}\pm0.3\\ 0.38^{c}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.56^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.38^{c}\pm0.4\\ 0.36^{c}\pm0.6\\ 0.35^{c}\pm0.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.36^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.36^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.35^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.27^{c}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.80^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.32^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.31^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.28^{c}\pm0.5\\ 0.12^{d}\pm0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.91^{a}\pm0.3\\ 0.77^{ab}\pm0.1\\ 0.56^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.55^{b}\pm0.4\\ 0.55^{b}\pm0.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.90^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.58^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.50^{bc}\pm0.4\\ 0.49^{bc}\pm0.5\\ 0.40^{c}\pm0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.90^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.45^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.41^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.40^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.34^{c}\pm0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.88^{a}\pm0.3\\ 0.41^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.40^{b}\pm0.5\\ 0.31^{c}\pm0.6\\ 0.20^{d}\pm0.4 \end{array}$
Karotenoid								
Control $1 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $2 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $5 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ $10 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.62^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.56^{b}\pm0.8\\ 0.54^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.42^{c}\pm0.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.99^{a} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.61^{b} \pm 0.6 \\ 0.42^{c} \pm 0.1 \\ 0.33^{c} \pm 0.5 \\ 0.29^{d} \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.98^{a} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.56^{b} \pm 0.3 \\ 0.37^{c} \pm 0.4 \\ 0.33^{c} \pm 0.1 \\ 0.24^{d} \pm 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.97^{a}\pm0.1\\ 0.44^{b}\pm0.2\\ 0.31^{c}\pm0.3\\ 0.28^{c}\pm0.4\\ 0.24^{d}\pm0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.01^{a}\pm0.4\\ 0.76^{ab}\pm0.2\\ 0.66^{b}\pm0.1\\ 0.64^{b}\pm0.3\\ 0.65^{b}\pm0.1\end{array}$	$1^{a} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.67^{b} \pm 0.4 \\ 0.58^{bc} \pm 0.1 \\ 0.54^{bc} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.45^{c} \pm 0.4$	$1^{a} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.67^{b} \pm 0.7 \\ 0.54^{c} \pm 0.1 \\ 0.48^{c} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.33^{d} \pm 0.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 1^{a} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.62^{b} \pm 0.4 \\ 0.52^{c} \pm 0.2 \\ 0.39^{cd} \pm 0.5 \\ 0.25^{d} \pm 0.6 \end{array}$

Table 2 Effect of different concentrations of Cu and nutrients on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoid contents of Lemna gibba

All the values are means of triplicates \pm SD. ANOVA significance was set at $P \le 0.01$. Different letters indicate significantly different values at a particular time point (DMRT, $P \le 0.05$).

3.3 Effect on malondialdehyde content and electrical conductivity

Both MDA and EC content increased gradually with increasing concentrations of both Cd and Cu, though Cd had a more significant effect (Figures 3 and 4). Maximum increases in MDA and EC (11-fold and 8-fold higher than control, respectively) were observed in 4 mg L⁻¹ Cd treated plants on day 7 (Figure 3). Maximum increase in MDA and EC (5-fold and 2-fold higher than control, respectively) were observed after 7 days exposure to 10 mg L⁻¹ Cu (Figure 4).

4. DISCUSSION

In the present study, high accumulations of Cd and Cu were observed in *L. gibba* over 7 days. Nutrient enrichment resulted in decreased accumulation of Cd and Cu in growing plants over the time. Growth rates of *L. gibba* decreased with increasing cadmium and copper concentrations. However, nutrient enrichment led to increased growth rates at Cd and Cu concentrations that impaired growth in the non-enriched groups. Cd had a stronger effect on growth rates



Figure 3 Effect of different concentrations of Cd on MDA content (a) and EC (b) of *L. gibba*. All the values are means of triplicates \pm SD. ANOVA significance was set at $P \le 0.01$. Different letters indicate significantly different values at a particular time point (DMRT, $P \le 0.05$).



Figure 4 Effect of different concentrations of Cu on MDA content (a) and EC (b) of *L. gibba*. All the values are means of triplicates \pm SD. ANOVA significance was set at $P \le 0.01$. Different letters indicate significantly different values at a particular time point (DMRT, $P \le 0.05$).

than Cu. Low concentrations of Cu actually increased plant growth rates, while higher concentrations had negative effects.

Appenroth et al. (2008) investigated the modification of chromate toxicity by sulfate in duckweeds. The authors explained that sulfate influences the toxicity of chromate mainly by chromate uptake, with negligible impact on other physiological processes. Rahman et al. (2008) reported on the uptake of arsenate in S. polyrrhiza and its interactions with PO_4^{3-} and Fe ions. Their study found that arsenate uptake in S. polyrrhiza occurred through the phosphate uptake pathway and by physico-chemical adsorption on Fe-plaques of plant surfaces as well. Similarly, Hadad et al. (2007) found that nutrient enrichment enabled Salvinia hergozii growth at Zn and Ni exposures that impaired growth in plants without nutrient addition. Göthberg et al. (2004) found high metal concentrations in Ipomea aquatica cultivated for human consumption in freshwater courses near Bangkok receiving variable amounts of cultural nutrient loads. The authors proposed fertilization as a means to attenuate metal accumulation. Their experimental work, in agreement with our findings, showed that nutrient enrichment led to increased I. aquatica tolerance to cadmium, lead and mercury. Lead and mercury accumulation decreased with increasing concentrations of nutrients, as was observed cadmium and copper in the present study.

Chlorophyll concentrations in *L. gibba* were negatively correlated with Cd and Cu accumulation, but nutrient enrichment mitigated the decrease in chlorophylls in both cases. Cd, as a non-essential metal ion, was found to have more of a toxic effect on pigments in *L. gibba* fronds than Cu did. Even at low

concentrations, e.g. 0.5 mg L^{-1} , Cd had adverse effects on photosynthetic pigment levels. Cd ions may inhibit the formation of chlorophylls by interfering with protochlorophyllide reduction and the synthesis of aminoevulinic acid, or may interfere with various steps of the Calvin cycle, resulting in the inhibition of photosynthetic CO₂ fixation (Mohan and Hosetti, 1997; Weigel, 1985). In addition, Cd could damage the chloroplast envelope and thylakoid via increased production of free radicals (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1984). In fronds treated with Cu, a concentration of 1 mg L^{-1} was sufficient to cause a decrease in pigment molecules, indicating that although copper is an essential micronutrient for the growth and development of plants, it could be a strong inhibitor of photosynthesis at high levels (Frankart et al., 2002; Vavilin et al., 1995). The loss in chlorophyll content could be due to peroxidation of chloroplast membranes or replacement of magnesium in chlorophyll molecules by Cu ions (Mal et al., 2002; Sandmann and Boger, 1980). Razinger et al. (2007) investigated antioxidative responses of duckweed (Lemna minor L.) to shortterm copper exposure. Their study found that the intensity of delayed chlorophyll fluorescence decreased indicating reduced photosynthesis of plants under CuSO₄ stress.

MDA is the decomposition product of polyunsaturated fatty acids of biological membranes, and its increase indicates that plants are in a state of oxidative stress (Wenhua *et al.*, 2007). Cell membrane stability has been widely used to distinguish stress-tolerant from susceptible cultivars of many crops (Premachandra *et al.*, 1991) and, in some cases, higher membrane stability could be correlated with better performance (Sudhakar *et al.*, 2001). The oxidative stress imposed to the plants after Cd and Cu exposure was evident from the significant increase in MDA and EC at higher concentrations. Fronds treated with Cd were experienced higher oxidative stress than those treated with Cu. Because Cd had a stronger effect on growth rates than Cu and plants exposed to the highest Cd concentrations developed more chlorosis and necrosis than Cu. Contrary to our results, Razinger et al. (2007) and Razinger et al. (2008) found that Cu elicits a stronger oxidative stress as compared to Cd and is therefore more toxic to the test plants. In accordance with our observations, similar results were obtained after Cu treatment in Ceratophyllum demersum L. (Devi and Prasad, 1998; Mishra et al., 2006) and Pistia stratiotes (Sinha et al., 2003).

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the toxic effects of cadmium and copper on L. gibba, and showed that nutrient enrichment increased the tolerance of L. gibba to metal contamination. This effect has important implications in the use of constructed wetlands for industrial wastewater treatment. Many metallurgic industrial processes produce wastewater containing high concentrations of metal ions. Increased tolerance may be useful for wastewater treatment by allowing macrophyte growth at metal concentrations that would otherwise impair their development. Nutrient addition will thus aid metal removal by increasing macrophyte production, leading to a higher metal uptake by the macrophyte biomass, and also by enhancing overall biological activity, reaching a higher metal retention in the detrital fractions.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Research Fund of the University of Erciyes. Project number is FBT-08-528.

7. REFERENCES

- Appenroth, K.J., Luther, A., Jetschke, G. and Gabrys, H. (2008) Modification of chromate toxicity by sulfate in duckweeds (*Lemnaceae*). Aquat. Toxicol., 89, 167–171.
- Demirezen, D., Aksoy, A. and Uruç, K. (2007) Effect of population density on growth, biomass and nickel accumulation capacity of *Lemna gibba* (Lemnaceae). *Chemosphere*, **66**, 553–567.
- Demirezen Yimaz, D. (2007) Effects of salinity and nickel accumulation capacity of *Lemna gibba* (Lemnaceae). *J. Hazard Mater.*, **147**, 74–77.

- Devi, S.R. and Prasad, M.N.V. (1998) Copper toxicity in *Ceratophyllum demersum* L. (Coontail), a free floating macrophyte: response of antioxidant enzymes and antioxidants. *Plant Sci.*, **138**, 157–165.
- El-Kheir, W.A., İsmail, G., El-Nour, F.A., Tawfik, T. and Hammad, D. (2007) Assessment of efficiency of duckweed (*Lemna gibba*) wastewater treatment. *Int. J. Agr. Biol.*, 9, 681–687.
- Frankart, C., Eullaffroy, P. and Vernet, G. (2002) Photosynthetic responses of *Lemna minor* exposed to xenobiotics, copper and their combinations. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety*, 53, 439–445.
- Gijzen, H. and Khonker, M. (1997) An overview of the ecology, physiology, cultivation, and application of duckweed, literature review. *Report of duckweed research project*, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Göthberg, A., Greger, M., Holm, K. and Bengtsson, B.E. (2004) Influence of nutrient levels on uptake and effects of mercury, cadmium and lead in water spinach. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 33, 1247–1255.
- Hadad, H.R., Maine, M.A., Natale, G.S. and Bonetto, C. (2007) The effect of nutrient addition on metal tolerance in *Salvinia herzogii. Ecol. Eng.*, **31**, 122–131.
- Halliwell, B. and Gutteridge, J.M.C. (1984) Oxygen toxicity, oxygen radicals, transition metals and disease. *Biochem. J.*, 219, 1–14.
- Health, R.L. and Packer, L. (1968) Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts 1. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidatoin. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 125, 189–198.
- Hunt, R. (1978) Plant growth analysis. *Studies in biology*, pp. 67. Edward Arnold Ltd, London.
- Khan, G., Kuek, C., Chaudhary, T., Fhoo, C. and Hayes, W. (2000) Role of mycorrhizae and phytochelators in heavy metal contaminated land remediation. *Chemosphere*, **41**, 197–207.
- Lee, W.Y. and Wang, W.X. (2001) Metal accumulation in the green macroalgae *Ulva fasciata*: effects of nitrate, ammonium and phosphate. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 278, 11–22.
- Mal, T.K., Adorjan, A.L. and Corbertt, A.L. (2002) Effect of copper on growth of an aquatic macrophytes *Elodea canadensis. Environ. Pollut.*, **120**, 307–311.
- Mishra, S., Srivastava, S., Tripathi, R.D., Kumar, R., Seth, C.S. and Gupta, D.K. (2006) Lead detoxification by Coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum* L.) involves induction of phytochelatins and antioxidant system in response to its accumulation. *Chemosphere*, **65**, 1027–1039.
- Mohan, B.S. and Hosetti, B.B. (1997) Potential phytotoxicity of lead and cadmium to *Lemna minor* grown in sewage stabilization ponds. *Environ. Pollut.*, 98, 233–238.
- Outridge, P. and Noller, B. (1991) Accumulation of toxic trace elements by freshwater vascular plants. *Rev. Environ. Cont. Toxicol.*, **121**, 2–63.
- Prasad, M.N.V., Malec, P., Waloszek, A., Bojko, M. and Strzalka, K. (2001) Physiological responses of *Lemna trisulca* L. (Duckweed) to cadmium and copper bioaccumulation. *Plant Sci.*, **161**, 881–889.
- Premachandra, G.S., Soneka, H., Kanaya, M. and Ogata, S. (1991) Cell membrane stability and leaf surface wax content as affected by increasing water deficits in maize. *J. ExP. Bot.*, 42, 167–171.

- Rahman, A.M., Hasegawa, H., Ueda, K., Maki, T. and Rahman, M.M. (2008) Arsenic uptake by aquatic macrophyte *Spirodela polyrhiza* L.: Interactions with phosphate and iron. *J. Hazard Mater.*, **160**, 356–361.
- Rai, U.N., Tripathi, R.D., Vajpayee, P., Vidyanath, J. and Ali, M.B. (2002) Bioaccumulation of toxic metals (Cr, Cd, Pb and Cu) by seeds of *Euryale ferox* Salisb (Makhana). *Chemosphere*, **46**, 267–272.
- Razinger, J., Dermastia, M., Drinovec, L., Drobne, D., Zrimec, A. and Dolenc, K.J. (2007) Antioxidative responses of duckweed (*Lemna minor L.*) to short-term copper exposure. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 14, 194–201.
- Razinger, J., Dermastia, M., Dolenc, K.J. and Zrimec, A. (2008) Oxidative stress in duckweed (*Lemna minor L.*) caused by short-term cadmium exposure. *Environ. Pollut.*, **153**, 687– 694.
- Razinger, J., Drinovec, L. and Zrimec, A. (2009) Real-time visualization of oxidative stress in a floating macrophyte *Lemna minor* L. exposed to cadmium, copper, menadione, and AAPH. *Environ. Toxicol.*, doi: 10.1002/tox.20518.
- Reed, S.C., Crites, R.W. and Miilebrooks, E.J. (1995) Natural systems for waste management and treatment, 2nd edn. McGraw-Hill Inc, New York.
- Sandmann, G. and Boger, P. (1980) Copper-mediated lipid peroxidation processes in photosynthetic membranes. *Plant Physiol.*, 66, 797–800.
- Sinha, S., Saxena, R. and Singh, S. (2003) Chromium-induced lipid peroxidation in the plants of *Pistia stratiotes* L.: role of antioxidants and antioxidant enzymes. *Chemosphere*, 58, 596–604.
- Srivastava, S., Mishra, S., Tripathi, R.D., Dwivedi, S. and Gupta, K.D. (2006) Copper-induced oxidative stress and responses of antioxidants and phytocelatins in *Hydrilla verticillata* (L.f.) Royle. *Aquat. Toxicol.*, **80**, 405–415.
- Sudkahar, C., Lakshmi, A. and Giridarakumar, S. (2001) Changes in the antioxidant enzyme efficacy in two high yielding genotypes of mulberry (*Morus alba L.*) under NaCl salinity. *Plant Sci.*, **161**, 613–619.

- Tremp, H. and Kohler, A. (1995) The usefulness of macrophyte-monitoring systems, exemplified on eutrophication and acidification of running waters. *Acta Bot Gall.*, **142**, 541–550.
- Vajpayee, P., Rai, U.N., Ali, M.B., Tripathi, R.D., Kumar, A. and Singh, S.N. (2005) Possible involvement of oxidative stress in copper-induced inhibition of nitrate reductase activity in *Vallisneria spiralis* L. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 74, 745–754.
- Van der Steen, P., Brenner, A., Van Buuren, J. and Oron, G. (1999) Post-treatment of UASB reactor effluent in integrated duckweed and stabilization pond system. *Water Res.*, 33, 615–620.
- Vavilin, D.V., Polynov, V.A., Matorin, D.N. and Venediktov, P.S. (1995) Sublethal concentration of copper stimulates photosystem II photoinhibition in *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*. J. Plant Physiol., **146**, 609–614.
- Vermaat, J.E. and Hanif, K.M. (1998) Performance of common duckweed species (*Lemnaceae*) and the waterfern *Azolla filiculoides* on different types of waste water. *Water Res.*, 32, 2569–2576.
- Weigel, H.J. (1985) Inhibition of photosynthetic reaction of isolated spinach chloroplasts by cadmium. J. Plant Physiol., 119, 179–189.
- Wenhua, H., Chen, X., Song, G., Wang, Q. and Chang, C.C. (2007) Effects of copper and cadmium on heavy metal polluted waterbody restoration by duckweed (*Lemna minor*). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, **45**, 62–69.
- Witham, F.H., Blaydes, D.F. and Deulin, R.M. (1971) Experiments in plant physiology, pp. 245. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York.
- Zimmo, O. (2003) Nitrogen transformations and removal mechanisms in algal and duckweed waste stabilization ponds. PhD thesis, International Institute for Infrastructural, Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering, Delft, The Netherlands.