FishTaxa (2019) 4(1): 13-17

Journal homepage: www.fishtaxa.com © 2019 FISHTAXA. All rights reserved



Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides (Erk'akan, Nalbant & Özeren, 2007): a junior synonym of Oxynoemacheilus angorae (Steindachner, 1897)

Erdoğan ÇIÇEK*,1, Soheil EAGDERI2, Sevil SUNGUR3

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University, 50300, Nevşehir, Turkey.

Corresponding author: *E-mail: erdogancicek@nevsehir.edu.tr

Abstract

Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides described from the Iznik Stream, Marmara basin, Turkey based on an awkward description. This study aimed to test the synonymy hypothesis of *O. angorae* and *O. phoxinoides* due to its uncomplete description and very low genetic distance of 0.2% with *O. angorae*. Morphometric and meristic characters of *O. phoxinoides* are largely overlapping or even identical with those of *O. angorae*, a species known from Sakarya basin. Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides is treated as a junior synonym of *O. angorae*.

Keywords: Lake İznik, Sakarya basin, Genetic distance, Biodiversity.

Zoobank: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1CE1D0A7-3470-4E2E-AED7-81278A25B0CC

Introduction

The nemacheilid family has 49 species in Turkey, belonging to six genera, viz. *Barbatula, Oxynoemacheilus, Paracobitis, Schistura, Seminemacheilus* and *Turcinoemacheilus* (Çiçek et al. 2015, 2016, 2018; Kaya et al. 2016; Turan et al. 2019). The taxonomic status of the nemacheilid loaches is still complicated (Prokofiev 2009, 2010; Sayyadzadeh et al. 2016, 2017), especially in the Middle East because of the difficulties in the diagnosis of the genera and high number of poorly diagnosed species described from this area (Freyhof et al. 2011; Sayyadzadeh et al. 2016). Hence, description of a new taxa of nemacheilid solely on the basis of morphometric characters and coloration can be led to errors (Prokofiev 2009; Freyhof et al. 2012).

Among the nemacheilids, the members of the genus *Oxynoemacheilus* has 41 reported species in Turkey (Çiçek et al. 2015, 2016, 2018; Kaya et al. 2016; Turan et al. 2019), and a recent study on the spatial heterogeneity of freshwater fishes in the Mediterranean revealed that some species of this genus have very low genetic differences (Geiger et al. 2014), suggesting their synonymy. *Oxynoemacheilus angorae* and *O. phoxinoides* are examples in this regard showing a k2p genetic distance of 0.2% (Gieger et al. 2014). Hence, we collected and compared materials from type localities of both species to test the synonymy hypothesis of *O. angorae* and *O. phoxinoides*.

Material and Methods

The specimens of *O. angorae* and *O. phoxinoides* were collected by electrofishing device. After anaesthesia using MS222, they were fixed in 4% buffered formaldehyde and then stored in 70% ethanol after 48 hours. Specimens were deposited at ichthyological collection of Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University. Measurements were made using a digital caliper to the nearest 0.1 mm. Methods for counts and measurements of morphological characters follows Kottelat and Freyhof (2007). The last two branched rays articulating on a single pterygiophore bone in the dorsal and anal fins were considered as "1½". The data are presented as standard and head lengths.

Abbreviations used: SL, standard length, HL, lateral head length, NHVUIC, Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University Ichthyology Collection, Nevşehir.

²Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran.

³Health Services Vocational School, Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University, 50300, Nevşehir, Turkey.

Results

Morphometric data of *O. angorae* and *O. phoxinoides* is given in Table 1. Meristic characteristics of both species were as D III/7-8, A III/5, P I/9-11, V II/6-7. We found all morphometric and meristic characters of *O. angorae* and *O. phoxinoides* overlap largely (Table 1). In addition, general appearances and coloration of *O. angorae* and *O. phoxinoides* are shown in Figures 1-2. There are no differences in the colour pattern also (Figs. 1-2).



Figure 1. Oxynoemacheilus angorae, Turkey: Ankara prov.: Çubuk Stream, Sakarya river basin, (above) NHVUIC1801-1, 62.7 mm SL, and (below) NHVUIC1801-2, 64.7 mm SL.



Figure 2. Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides, Turkey: Yalova prov.: Altinova Stream, Marmara basin, (above) NHVUIC1802-13, 56.5 mm SL, and (below) NHVUIC1802-10, 51.6 mm SL.

Table 1. Morphometric characteristics of *Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides* (NHVUIC1802-1-15) and *Oxynoemacheilus angorae* (NHVUIC1801-1-15).

Morphometric characters	Oxynoemacheilus angorae		Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides	
	range	mean±SD	range	mean±SD
Standard length (mm)	55.9-72.1	64.8±5.4	46.3-56.5	51.0±3.0
In percent of standard length				
Body depth maximal	15.5-19.5	17.4 ± 1.2	14.7-16.5	15.6 ± 0.6
Caudal peduncle depth	10.7-13.3	11.8 ± 0.8	9.6-11.1	10.5 ± 0.5
Predorsal length	46.9-52.1	49.3±1.4	47.2-50.4	48.7 ± 0.9
Postdorsal length	36.0-41.4	38.9±1.5	35.9-41.1	38.6 ± 1.4
Prepelvic length	47.7-52.2	48.6 ± 1.2	48.3-53.3	50.5 ± 1.5
Preanal length	71.5-75.3	73.7±1.1	73.3-77.4	75.6 ± 1.3
Caudal peduncle length	15.1-19.2	17.4 ± 1.2	14.1-17.4	16.3 ± 0.9
Dorsal-fin base length	12.7-15.5	13.7 ± 0.7	13.2-16.5	14.7 ± 1.0
Dorsal-fin depth	19.2-22.8	21.3 ± 1.2	18.1-22.8	20.6±1.3
Anal-fin base length	8.6-10.7	9.6 ± 0.5	8.1-10.4	$9.1 \pm .0.7$
Anal-fin depth	15.2-19.0	17.4 ± 1.0	13.6-17.3	16.0±1.1
Pectoral-fin length	18.0-24.5	20.7 ± 2.1	16.2-22.6	20.0 ± 1.6
Pelvic-fin length	14.7-19.0	16.9 ± 1.2	15.0-17.3	16.2 ± 0.7
Pectoral – pelvic-fin origin distance	23.8-27.8	25.8 ± 0.9	24.4-27.8	26.1±1.1
Pelvic – anal-fin origin distance	23.3-26.6	25.0 ± 1.0	22.4-26.4	24.4 ± 1.2
Caudal-fin length	17.4-23.3	20.4±1.6	19.2-22.7	20.6±1.1
Body width	11.7-15.9	13.3±1.1	10.5-14.5	12.4 ± 1.0
Caudal peduncle width maximum	5.1-6.3	5.6 ± 0.4	3.1-6.5	5.2 ± 0.8
Head length	23.4-26.7	24.4 ± 0.9	23.5-26.9	25.4 ± 0.9
In percent of Head length				
Snout length	35.7-43.9	41.4±2.1	35.1-43.5	39.8 ± 2.2
Eye horizontal diameter	17.1-20.5	18.3 ± 1.0	17.3-22.2	19.4 ± 1.1
Postorbital distance	38.7-45.8	43.3±2.0	38.0-49.2	42.3 ± 3.1
Head depth at nape	53.5-64.8	58.5 ± 2.9	52.3-60.9	56.6 ± 2.2
Head width	49.7-60.8	56.5±2.6	53.1-64.7	58.1±3.3
Inter Orbital	28.9-34.5	31.2±1.5	26.3-33.2	28.8 ± 1.8
Inter nasal	20.8-27.8	24.4±1.9	18.0-22.5	20.8 ± 1.4
Mouth width	27.3-39.6	34.0 ± 3.2	28.0-36.4	32.4 ± 2.2
Inner rostral barbel	20.4-30.1	25.8 ± 2.6	21.0-27.5	23.5 ± 2.2
Outer rostral barbel	26.7-37.6	32.7 ± 3.5	23.8-32.5	28.8 ± 2.8
Maxillary barbel	27.9-44.9	37.7 ± 4.8	26.1-36.7	29.9 ± 3.3

Discussion

Oxynoemacheilus angorae (Steindachner, 1897) described from Çubuk Stream, Sakarya basin. This species has been reported from the Marmara, Susurluk, Sakarya and Kızılırmak basins of Turkey. By the way, the species erroneously reported from many basins of Turkey (Özkan et al. 2009; Çoban et al. 2013; Yıldırım et al. 2015; Sağlam et al. 2017) and even in some other neighbour countries (Gabrielyan 2001; Hasankhani et al. 2014; Esmaeili 2018). Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides described from the Iznik (40°41'N, 29°30'E) in Marmara basin (Erk'akan et al. 2007).

Based on the description of *O. phoxinoides*, it is distinguished from *O. angorae*, by having eight branched dorsal-fin rays, five branched anal-fin, deeper head, longer longitudinal eye diameter, shape of mouth, processus dentiformis, length of lower jaw barbels, colouration of body and well-developed adipose crests (Erk'akan et al. 2007). However, these differences have been shown in the description of *O. phoxinoides* only as mean values without providing their ranges (Erk'akan et al. 2007). These morphometric and meristic features overlap in specimens collected from their type localities. Both species have arched moth with lower lip possessing a deep median interruption. In *O. phoxinoides*, lips are thicker than those of *O. angorae* without furrows (Fig. 3). This

little difference in the shape of mouth may be related to their feeding habitats, since *O. angorae* inhabits in streams with gravel and rocky beds, whereas *O. phoxinoides* found in streams with sandy and muddy bottoms. Therefore their mouth shape difference can be considered as phenotypic plasticity. *Oxynoemacheilus angorae* shows a high level of phenotypic variation between populations in its wide geographic distribution.



Figure 3. Mouth shape in (A) Oxynoemacheilus angorae and (B) Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides.

As we were unable to find any differences in the provided diagnostic characters by Erk'akan et al. (2007) between examined specimens of *O. angorae* and *O. phoxinoides* from their type localities, we conclude these two nominal species represent just one species and therefore, *O. phoxinoides* is treated as a junior synonym of *O. angorae*.

Material examined. All from Turkey.

Oxynoemacheilus angorae: NHVUIC1801, 15, 55.9-72.1 mm SL; Turkey: Ankara prov.: Çubuk Stream, Sakarya river basin, 40°20'38.11"N, 33°02'19.95"E, E. Çiçek & S. Sungur.

Oxynoemacheilus phoxinoides: NHVUIC1802, 15, 46.3-56.5 mm SL; Turkey: Yalova prov.: Altinova Stream, Marmara basin, 40°40'38.05"N, 29°31'54.80"E, September 2018, E. Çiçek & S. Sungur.

Acknowledgments

We are pleased to thank Council of Higher Education (CoHE) for supporting Project-based International Exchange Programme, and Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University and University of Tehran for financial supports. We are also pleased to thank Burak Seçer for his help in laboratory works.

Literature cited

Çiçek E., Sungur-Birecikligil S., Fricke R. 2015. Freshwater fishes of Turkey: A revised and updated annotated checklist. Biharean Biologist 9(2): 141-157.

Çiçek E., Sungur-Birecikligil S., Fricke R. 2016. Addenda and errata of: Freshwater fishes of Turkey: a revised and updated annotated checklist. FishTaxa 1(2): 116-117.

Çiçek E., Fricke R., Sungur S., Eagderi E. 2018. Endemic freshwater fishes of Turkey. FishTaxa 3(4): 1-39.

Çoban M.Z., Gündüz F., Yüksel F., Demirol, F., Yıldırım T., Kurtoğlu M. 2013. Fish Fauna of Uzunçayır Dam Lake (Tunceli). Aquaculture Studies 13(2): 35-44.

Erk'akan F., Nalbant T.T., Ozeren S.C. 2007. Seven new species of *Barbatula*, three new species of *Seminemacheilus* (Ostariophysi: Balitoridae: Nemacheilinae) from Turkey. Journal of Fisheries International 2: 69-85.

Esmaeili H.R., Sayyadzadeh G., Eagderi S., Abbasi K. 2018. Checklist of freshwater fishes of Iran. FishTaxa 3(3): 1-95. Freyhof J., Erk'akan F., Özeren C., Perdices A.J. 2011. An overview of the western Palaearctic loach genus *Oxynoemacheilus* (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae). Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters, 22: 301-312.

- Freyhof J., Erk'akan F., Özeren C., Perdices A. 2012. An overview of the western Palaearctic loach genus *Oxynoemacheilus* (Teleosei: Nemacheilidae). Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters, 22(4): 301-312.
- Gabrielyan B.K., 2001. An annotated checklist of freshwater fishes of Armenia. Naga, ICLARM Quarterly 24(3 and 4): 23-29.
- Geiger M.F., Herder F., Monaghan M.T., Almada V., Barbieri R., Bariche M., Berrebi P., Bohlen J., Casal-Lopez M., Delmastro G.B., Denys G.P.J., Dettai A., Doadrio I., Kalogianni E., Kärst H., Kottelat M., Kovacic M., Laporte M., Lorenzoni M., Marcic Z., Özuluğ M., Perdices A., Perea S., Persat H., Porcelotti S., Puzzi C., Robalo J., Šanda R., Schneider M., Šlechtová V., Stoumboudi M., Walter S., Freyhof J. 2014. Spatial heterogeneity in the Mediterranean Biodiversity Hotspot affects barcoding accuracy of its freshwater fishes. Molecular Ecology Resources 14: 1210-1221.
- Hasankhani M., Keivany Y., Daliri M., Pouladi M., Soofiani N.M. 2014. Length-weight and length-length relationships of four species (*Barbus lacerta* Heckel, 1843), *Oxynoemacheilus angorae* (Steindachner, 1897), *Squalius lepidus* (Heckel, 1843) and *Pseudorasbora parva* (Temminck & Schlegel, 1846) from the Sirwan River (western Iran). Journal of Applied Ichthyology 30(1): 206-207.
- Kaya C., Turan D., Ünlü E. 2016. The latest status and distribution of fishes in upper Tigris River and two new records for Turkish freshwaters. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 16: 545-562.
- Kottelat M., Freyhof J. 2007. Handbook of European freshwater fishes. Kottelat. Cornol, Switzerland. Freyhof. Berlin, Germany. 646 p.
- Özkan O., Gül S., Keleş O., Aksu P., Kaya T.Ö., Nur G. 2009. The investigation of the mutagenic activity of Kars River sediments on *Orthrias angorae* (Steindahner, 1897). Journal of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafkas University 15(1): 35-40.
- Prokofiev A.M. 2009. Problems of the classification and phylogeny of Nemacheiline loaches of the group lacking the preethmoid I (Cypriniformes: Balitoridae: Nemacheilinae). Journal of Ichthyology 49(10): 874-898.
- Prokofiev A.M. 2010. Morphological classification of loaches (Nemacheilinae). Journal of Ichthyology 50: 827-913.
- Sağlam N., Şen B., Alnay M., Dartay M., Özbay Ö., Tuğyan H.P., Türker A., Ateşşahin T., Sapmaz M. 2017. Fish and fisheries in Lake Hazar. 2nd Workshop on National Environment and Wayer Management. Elazığ municipality, 11-12 May 2017. Elazığ. (In Turkish)
- Sayyadzadeh G., Eagderi S., Esmaeili H.R. 2016. A new loach of the genus *Oxynoemacheilus* from the Tigris River drainage and its phylogenetic relationships among the nemacheilid fishes (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae) in the Middle East based on mtDNA COI sequences. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology 3(4): 236-250.
- Sayyadzadeh G., Esmaeili H.R., Eagderi S., Jouladeh-Roudbar A., Masoudi M., Vatandoust S. 2017. Re-description of Oxynoemacheilus longipinnis from the Persian Gulf basin (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae). Zoology in the Middle East 63(3): 228-238.
- Steindachner F. 1897. Bericht über die von Dr. Escherich in der Umgebung von Angora gesammelten Fische und Reptilien. Denkschriften der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Classe 64: 685-699.
- Turan D., Kaya C., Kalaycı G., Bayçelebi E., Aksu İ. 2019. *Oxynoemacheilus cemali*, a new species of stone loach (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae) from the Çoruh River drainage, Turkey. Journal of Fish Biology, doi: 10.1111/jfb.13909.
- Yıldırım T., Şen D., Eroğlu M., Çoban M.Z., Demirol F., Gündüz F., Arca S., Demir T., Gürçay S., Uslu A.A., Canpolat İ. 2015. The Fish Fauna of Keban Dam Lake, Elazığ, Turkey. Firat University Journal of Science 27(1): 57-69. (In Turkish)